

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

						V	
APPLIC	ATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	08/993	,946 12,	/18/97	SILVESTRINI	Т	2516920036	
•	MODDICON A COM		QM12/0317 T	EXAMINER			
	MORRISON & FOERSTER 755 PAGE MILL ROAD				WILL	WILLSE, D	
	PALO ALTO CA 94304-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				• •	3738	, 8	
					DATE MAILED:	03/17/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/993,946

Appr.cant(s)

Examiner

Dave Willse

Group Art Unit 3738

SILVESTRINI



☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	r formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensi 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 18, 19, and 25	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
	g Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies o	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	
received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	***dor 25 H.C.C. 5 110/o\
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	lole) A and 6
	10(5). 4 dilu 0
	40
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 ■	48

Serial Number: 08/993,946

Art Unit: 3738

In the Information Disclosure Statement of May 22, 1998, the Swiss patent document was not considered because a concise explanation of the relevance (37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(3)) was not

presented.

Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that "the insert of claim 25 cannot be used in such materially different processes from those described in claims 1-17 and 20-24 as proposed by the Examiner" (page 2, lines 13-14, of paper no. 7). This is not found persuasive because the Applicant's remarks are based upon claim 25 as amended after the mailing of the restriction requirement (paper no. 5) and are thus not relevant to said restriction requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 11, line 2, "aperture" is misspelled.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 3

Serial Number: 08/993,946

Art Unit: 3738

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 14-17, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Peyman, US 5,964,748. Particular attention is directed to column 12, lines 34-40; column 13, lines 32-35; column 15, lines 58-66; and column 17, lines 28-31. Regarding claims 2 and 3: column 17, lines 36-40; column 12, lines 35-37; and Figures 41-45. Regarding claims 16, 17, 22, and 23: column 16, line 63, through column 17, line 5.

Claims 6, 8-11, 13, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peyman, US 5,964,748. Side legs as set forth in instant claims 6, 8, and 13 would have been obvious from column 13, lines 32-35, and column 15, lines 64-66, in order to accommodate the shape of the ocular material 430 (column 17, lines 28-31; Figure 42), with further motivation having been provided by Figures 27 and 36. Regarding claim 24, the tool 450 being arc-shaped would have been obvious in order to match the circular shape of the pocket 426 and/or a curved incision (column 15, lines 43-44).

Claims 4, 5, 7, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peyman, US 5,964,748, in view of Mathis et al., US 5,846,256. To employ the clockwise and counter-clockwise dissectors and channel connectors taught in Mathis et al. would have been obvious in order to provide better matching of the circular intracorneal channel dimensions with

Page 4

Serial Number: 08/993,946

Art Unit: 3738

those of the ring implant 430 of Peyman, with further motivation to use complementally shaped tools having been provided by column 17, lines 19-22, 28-31, 39-42, and 49-51, of Peyman.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The supervisory patent examiner is Mickey Yu, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2672. The receptionist's phone number is (703) 308-0858, and the main FAX numbers are (703) 305-3591, 3590.

dhw: D. Willse March 12, 2000 PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 3738**